

James A. Krutcik, Esq. (SBN 140550)  
A. Nicholas Georggin, Esq. (SBN 170925)  
Steven D. Sperling, Esq. (SBN 188709)  
**KRUTCIK & GEORGIN**  
26021 Acero  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691  
(949) 367-8590; (949) 367-8597 FAX

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

WILLIAM MINTER, JR., individually;  
and on behalf of all those similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

OFFICEMAX, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; OFFICEMAX, INC. fka  
BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION., a  
Delaware corporation; BOISE OFFICE  
SOLUTIONS, corporate form unknown;  
and, DOES 1 through 500, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 07-02399 SC

HON. SAMUEL CONTI


**NOTICE OF RELATED CASE**

**TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS:**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the action entitled *William Minter Jr. v. Officemax, Inc., et al.*, Case No. C 07-02399 SC, is substantially related to the previously filed putative class action lawsuit already pending in the Central District Court entitled *Mauricio Gonzalez v. OfficeMax North America, Inc.*, Case No.: SACV07-452JVS(MLGx).

DATED: June 18, 2007

**KRUTCIK & GEORGIN**

By   
A. Nicholas Georggin, Esq.  
Steven D. Sperling, Esq.  
Attorneys for PLAINTIFF

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.)**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE**

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 26021 Acero, Mission Viejo, California 92691.

On June 18, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as **NOTICE OF RELATED CASE** on the interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:


**William P. Kanno, Esq.**  
**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
**1620 26<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**Sixth Floor, South Tower**  
**Santa Monica, CA 90404-4013**  
**(310) 788-9900**  
**(310) 788-3399 - FAX**

**Attorney for Defendants, OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED and OFFICEMAX NORTH AMERICAN, INC.**

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Mission Viejo, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 18, 2007 at Mission Viejo, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kelly Dufourd